## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

#### FORT WORTH DIVISION

JENNIFER VANDERSTOK; MICHAEL G. ANDREN; TACTICAL MACHINING, LLC, a limited liability company; and FIREARMS POLICY COALITION, INC., a nonprofit corporation,

Plaintiffs,

and

BLACKHAWK MANUFACTURING GROUP INC. d/b/a 80 PERCENT ARMS,

Intervenor-Plaintiff,

v.

MERRICK GARLAND, in his official capacity as Attorney General of the United States; UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE; STEVEN DETTELBACH, in his official capacity as Director of the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives; and BUREAU OF ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, FIREARMS AND EXPLOSIVES,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 4:22-cv-691-O

# BLACKHAWK MANUFACTURING GROUP INC. d/b/a 80 PERCENT ARMS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65, Northern District of Texas Local Civil Rule 7.1, and 5 U.S.C. § 705, Intervenor-Plaintiff BlackHawk Manufacturing Group Inc. d/b/a 80 Percent Arms (collectively, as used herein, "BlackHawk"), through its undersigned counsel, move this honorable Court to enjoin the enforcement against BlackHawk of the provisions in 27 C.F.R.

Case 4:22-cv-00691-O Document 102 Filed 10/20/22 Page 2 of 4 PageID 1338

§§ 478.11 and 478.12 that were determined to be unlawful by the Court's Order dated September

2, 2022, (ECF No. 56), and to issue a determination that BlackHawk is entitled to the injunctive

relief granted by the Court in said September 2 Order and subsequently expanded in its Order dated

October 1, 2022. (ECF No. 89).

In support of this Motion, BlackHawk concurrently files a Brief in Support along with

supporting documentation pursuant to Northern District of Texas Local Civil Rule 7.1(d).

BlackHawk's counsel has conferred with counsel for Defendants, who oppose the relief

requested herein.

BlackHawk is aware that the Court previously imposed an expedited briefing schedule for

the Motion for Preliminary Injunction filed by the original Plaintiffs. Accordingly, counsel for

BlackHawk and Defendants have discussed a similarly accelerated briefing schedule for the instant

Motion. BlackHawk's counsel proposed the following briefing schedule: seven (7) days for

Defendants to file its opposition to the Motion based on the fact that similar issues have already

been briefed and ruled on by the Court, and two (2) days for BlackHawk to file its reply.

Defendants' counsel indicated Defendants would be content with the same briefing schedule

ordered by the Court on the original Plaintiffs' motion for injunctive relief, i.e., twelve (12) days

to file an opposition, and two (2) days for the moving party to reply.

DATED this 20th day of October, 2022.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Brian D. Poe

Brian D. Poe

TX Bar No. 24056908

BRIAN D. POE, ATTORNEY AT LAW PLLC

DRIAN D. I OE, ATTORNET AT LAW I LLC

2

The Bryce Building 909 Throckmorton Street Fort Worth, Texas 76102 Phone: (817) 870-2022 Fax: (817) 977-6501 bpoe@bpoelaw.com

Michael J. Sullivan

Pro Hac Vice, MA Bar No. 487210

J. Christopher Amrhein, Jr.

Pro Hac Vice, MA Bar No. 703170

Nathan P. Brennan

Pro Hac Vice, MN Bar No. 389954

ASHCROFT LAW FIRM LLC

200 State Street, 7th Floor

Boston, MA 02109

Phone: (617) 573-9400

Fax: (617) 933-7607

msullivan@ashcroftlawfirm.com

camrhein@ashcroftlawfirm.com

nbrennan@ashcroftlawfirm.com

Counsel for Intervenor-Plaintiff BlackHawk Manufacturing Group Inc. d/b/a 80 Percent Arms

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that, on October 20, 2022, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served via the Court's CM/ECF system to all counsel of record. A courtesy copy was also emailed to Daniel Reiss and Martin Tomlinson with the U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division, whom Intervenor-Plaintiff's counsel emailed regarding this Motion and to ascertain Defendants' position.

/s/Brian D. Poe Brian D. Poe

### **CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE**

I hereby certify that, on October 19, 2022, Intervenor-Plaintiff's counsel, Michael Sullivan, conferred with Defendants' counsel, Daniel Reiss and Martin Tomlinson, via phone, who stated that Defendants oppose this Motion, and thereafter on October 19 and 20, 2022, by email, regarding the parties' respective positions on a proposed expedited briefing schedule.

/s/Brian D. Poe Brian D. Poe